

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,611	03/29/2004	Atsushi Suzuki	251067US0CONT	9751	
	7590 02/22/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE S7	TREET	KWON, BRIAN YONG S			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1614			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/22/2007	FLECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 02/22/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/810,611	SUZUKI ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit			
•	·		Brian S. Kwon	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>20 No</i>	vember 2006.				
/ ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,7,8 and 11-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 4 and 11-19 is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	•		•		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	pted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the di	rawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				•		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. \boxtimes Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/161739.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/810,611 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application

1. By Amendment filed 11/20/2006, claim 1 has been amended. Claims 4, 7-8, 11-19 are currently pending for prosecution on the merits.

2. Applicant's amendment changing the scope of the invention by requiring the proviso that "where R³ is a hydroxyl group, one of R¹ or R² is selected from the group consisting of a hydrogen atom, an alkyl group..." necessitates a new ground of rejection in this Office Action.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4 and 11-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: Comma is missing between "a hydroxyl group" and "one of R¹ or R²...". Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. The objection of claims 7 and 8 are maintained for the reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,611 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

6. Claims 4, 7 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Iwaki et al. (WO 200113911 A1).

Iwaki teaches a use of or its

salt or solvate (commonly known as N-(3,4-dimethoxycinnamoyl)anthranilic acid or tranilast) for the treatment of hypertensive arteriolar disorders including ocular hypertension and hypertensive retinopathy, wherein said composition is administered in various dosage forms including oral, parenteral or eye drop (ophthalmic solution) in dosage range of from 100 to 1000mg per day (oral) or from $20~\mu g$ to 300mg per day (parenteral). See abstract and page 3, line 19 through page 4, line 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwaki et al. (WO 200113911 A1), and further in view of Iwaki et al. (US 6180673) and Isaji et al. (US 6407139 B1).

The teaching of Iwaki has been discussed in above 35 USC 102(a) rejection. However, Iwaki (WO 200113911 A1) is silent about the specific dosage amount of 0.001 to 50 wt%.

Iwaki (US'673) and Isaji (US'139) are being supplied as references to demonstrate the routine knowledge in preparing translast in the amount of "0.001 top 50 wt%" is within the skilled artisan (USP'673 discloses "0.001-2 weight %" of translast (see column 4, lines 29-33 and 51-54); and USP'139 discloses "the range of from 100 to 1000 mg per day" in case of oral administration, "the range of from 20 μ g to 300mg per day" in case of parenteral administration and about 0.5% of translast solution (see column 4, line 27 through column 5, line 17 and Examples)).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,611 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

To incorporate such teaching into the teaching of Iwaki (WO 200113911 A1), would have been obvious in view of US'673 and US'139 who teach "0.001-2 weight %" or about 0.5% of translast containing composition.

As discussed above, optimization of known active ingredient in said composition is well within the skill of the artisan, and the skilled artisan would have been motivated to determine optimum dosage amounts to maximize the efficacy of the drug.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. No Claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,611

Art Unit: 1614

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Kwon whose telephone number is (571) 272-0581. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from 9:00 am to 7:00pm.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications may be obtained from Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Brian Kwon **Primary Patent Examiner** AU 1614